1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney JAMES R. CONOLLY Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
67	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10			
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-16-DAD	
12	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
14	BENJAMIN JOHN SARGISSON,	DATE: October 6, 2022	
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Dale A. Drozd	
16			
17	BACKGROUND		
18	This case was set for a status conference on October 6, 2022, before United States District Judg		
19	Troy L. Nunley. On August 25, 2022, the Court reassigned this case to United States District Judge		
20	Dale A. Drozd. By this stipulation, the parties request that the Court vacate the October 6, 2022, status		
21	conference, and re-set it for November 29, 2022, and to exclude time under Local Code T4, for the		
22	reasons set forth below, from October 6, 2022, to November 29, 2022.		
23	STIPULATION		
24	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
25	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
26	1. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until		
27	November 29, 2022, and to exclude time between October 6, 2022, and November 29, 2022, under 18		
28	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].		

- 2. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
- a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes investigative reports and photographs. This discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying. The government is also in the process of confirming whether there is additional discovery, which it will produce in the event it is identified.
- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review the discovery produced, to consult with his client, to conduct investigation and research related to the current charges, to discuss potential resolutions to this matter, and to otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) In addition to the public health concerns cited by the General Orders and presented by the evolving COVID-19 pandemic, an ends-of-justice delay is particularly apt in this case because the defendant is out of custody and lives an appreciable distance from Sacramento, where defense counsel is based. As a result, all travel must be carefully coordinated, which is more difficult at this time.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of October 6, 2022 to November 29, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Case 2:22-cr-00016-DAD Document 31 Filed 10/05/22 Page 3 of 3

1	3. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the		
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial		
3	3 must commence.		
4	4 IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6		HILLIP A. TALBERT nited States Attorney	
7	ll .	LAMES D. CONOLLY	
8	8 JA	AMES R. CONOLLY AMES R. CONOLLY	
9	9 A	ssistant United States Attorney	
10		RON PETERS	
11	11	ON PETERS	
12	1 / 11	ounsel for Defendant ENJAMIN JOHN SARGISSON	
13	13		
14	ORDER		
15	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the status conference previously scheduled for October 6,		
16	2022, is vacated and re-set for November 29, 2022, at 9:30 a.m. before the undersigned. Time is		
17	excluded under Local Code T4, for the reasons set forth in the stipulation, from October 6, 2022, to		
18	November 29, 2022.	November 29, 2022.	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	Dated: October 4, 2022	Dale A. Dand	
21	UNITE	ED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	28		